arXiv:1602.07447v1 [math-ph] 24 Feb 2016

A Faber–Krahn inequality for indented and cut membranes

Nikolay Kuznetsov

Laboratory for Mathematical Modelling of Wave Phenomena,
Institute for Problems in Mechanical Engineering, Russian Academy of Sciences,
V.O., Bol'shoy pr. 61, St. Petersburg 199178, Russian Federation
E-mail: nikolay.g.kuznetsov@gmail.com

Abstract

In 1960, Payne and Weinberger proved that among all domains that lie within a wedge (an angle whose measure is less than or equal to π), and have a given value of a certain integral the circular sector has the lowest fundamental eigenvalue of the Dirichlet Laplacian. Here, it is shown that an analogue of this assertion is true for domains with a cut and for indented domains; that is, for those located in a reflex angle (its measure is between π and 2π).

1 Introduction

Isoperimetric inequalities for eigenvalues of the Laplacian have its roots in the work of Lord Rayleigh presented in the first volume of his monograph *The Theory of Sound* [9]. It was found that the normal modes and proper frequencies characterizing the vibrations of a fixed, homogeneous, elastic membrane are determined by the eigenvalue problem for the Dirichlet Laplacian on a plane, bounded domain; see [7] for a review and historical remarks.

Indeed, let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a bounded domain with a piecewise smooth boundary (the membrane at rest coincides with D). If for some real λ the boundary value problem

$$u_{xx} + u_{yy} + \lambda u = 0 \ \text{ in } D, \quad u = 0 \ \text{ on } \partial D$$

has a non-trivial solution continuous on $\bar{D}$ and belonging to $C^2(D)$, then λ and u are a Dirichlet eigenvalue of D and the corresponding eigenfunction respectively. The sequence of Dirichlet eigenvalues is positive and the squares of the membrane eigenfrequencies are proportional to them. This sequence is characterised by the max-min principle (see, for example, [2, 3]), according to which the lowest eigenvalue $\lambda_1(D)$ is simple and the corresponding eigenfunction u_1 can be taken to be positive in the interior of D.

This eigenvalue has a remarkable isoperimetric property referred to as the Faber–Krahn inequality; it is as follows:

$$\lambda_1(D) \geq \pi j_{0,1}^2/|D|, \tag{1}$$

where $|D|$ is the area of D and $j_{0,1} = 2.4048...$ is the first zero of the Bessel function J_0 (the notation of [1] is used for Bessel functions and their zeroes). Equality is attained in (1) if and only if D is a disc. In other words, *among all homogeneous membranes of a given area, the circular one has the lowest fundamental frequency* because $j_{0,1}^2$ is the lowest eigenvalue for the unit disc. This inequality was conjectured in [9], pp. 339–340, on the basis of numerical computations for simple domains and a variational argument for nearly circular domains. Independent proofs of (1) were given by Faber [4] and Krahn [5]; the last author also proved its higher-dimensional version [6].

Various other versions of Faber–Krahn's inequality are discussed in [2] and one of these versions belongs to Payne and Weinberger [8]. In terms of

$$S_\alpha = \{(r,\theta) : r \in (0,\infty); \theta \in (0, \pi/\alpha)\},$$

where $\alpha \geq 1$ and (r,θ) is the polar coordinates system on the (x,y)-plane, their result is as follows (see also [3], ch. III, §2.3).

Theorem 1. *Let $D \subset S_\alpha$ and let $\mathcal{I}_\alpha(D) = \int_D r^{2\alpha+1} \sin^2 \alpha\theta \, \mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}\theta$ be fixed. Then*

$$\lambda_1(D) \geq \left[4\pi^{-1}\alpha(\alpha+1)\mathcal{I}_\alpha(D)\right]^{-1/(\alpha+1)} j_{\alpha,1}^2, \tag{2}$$

where $j_{\alpha,1}$ is the first positive zero of the Bessel function J_α. Equality is attained when D is a circular sector of angle π/α.

Thus, a circular sector of angle π/α (in [8], this number is misprinted as α) has the lowest fundamental eigenvalue among all domains lying in S_α and having a given value of $\mathcal{I}_\alpha(D)$.

It is natural to ask whether an analogue of this assertion is true for indented domains; that is, for those located in a reflex angle (its radian measure is between π and 2π) or in the plane with an infinite straight cut. The aim of this note is to show how to obtain the corresponding result by modifying considerations in [8].

First, instead of S_α it is convenient to introduce

$$R_\beta = \{(r,\theta) : r \in (0,\infty);\ \theta \in (-\pi/\beta, \pi/\beta)\} \quad \text{for } \beta \in [1,2].$$

The plane cut along the negative x-axis corresponds to $\beta = 1$ and for $\beta \in (1,2)$ one obtains the whole family of reflex angles centred at the origin; finally the half-plane $\{x > 0\}$ corresponds to $\beta = 2$. Now we are in a position to formulate the following result in addition to Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 2. *Let $D \subset R_\beta$ and let $I_\beta(D) = \int_D r^{\beta+1} \cos^2 \frac{\beta\theta}{2} \, \mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}\theta$ be fixed. Then*

$$\lambda_1(D) \geq \left[\pi^{-1}\beta(\beta+2)I_\beta(D)\right]^{-2/(\beta+2)} j_{\beta/2,1}^2, \tag{3}$$

where $j_{\beta/2,1}$ is the first positive zero of the Bessel function $J_{\beta/2}$. Equality is attained when D is a circular sector of angle $2\pi/\beta$.

Thus, a circular sector of angle $2\pi/\beta$ has the lowest fundamental eigenvalue among all domains lying in R_β and having a given value of $I_\beta(D)$. It should be mentioned that (3) with $\beta = 2$ and (2) with $\alpha = 1$ coincide, and so (3) extends (2) to reflex angles.

Both lower bounds (2) and (3) for particular domains depend on the choice of the origin. In this regard, it is reasonable to cite Payne and Weinberger [8], p. 186. "There appears to be no systematic method of determining the origin to give the best lower bound. Experience and considerations of symmetry are certainly helpful."

2 Auxiliary Lemma

The following lemma provides the geometric inequality analogous to that proved by Payne and Weinberger; see Lemma in [8], §2.

Lemma 1. *If $D \subset R_\beta$, then*

$$\left[\frac{\beta}{\pi}\int_{\partial D} r^\beta \cos^2\frac{\beta\theta}{2}\,\mathrm{d}s\right]^{(\beta+2)/(\beta+1)} \geq \pi^{-1}\beta(\beta+2)I_\beta(D), \tag{4}$$

and equality is attained when D is a circular sector of angle $2\pi/\beta$.

Proof. We just outline amendments to be made in the proof of Payne and Weinberger. First, the mapping

$$\begin{aligned} D \ni (x = r\cos\theta, y = r\sin\theta) \mapsto \\ \left(x_1 = r^{(\beta+1)/3}\cos\frac{\beta\theta}{2}, y_1 = r^{(\beta+1)/3}\sin\frac{\beta\theta}{2}\right) \in D^* \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

must be applied instead of the transformation that appears in [8] under the number (2.3). It is clear that (5) maps

$$R_\beta \supset D \mapsto D^* \subset \{x_1 > 0; -\infty < y_1 < +\infty\},$$

and since $\beta \geq 1$, the inequality

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 \geq \frac{4(\mathrm{d}x_1^2 + \mathrm{d}y_1^2)}{\beta^2 r^{2(\beta-2)/3}}$$

holds for the element of arc length $\mathrm{d}s$ measured along curves in the (x, y)-plane. This implies that

$$r^\beta \cos^2\frac{\beta\theta}{2}\,\mathrm{d}s \geq 2\beta^{-1}x^2(\mathrm{d}x_1^2 + \mathrm{d}y_1^2)^{1/2},$$

where the integrand in (4) stands in the left-hand side.

The rest of lemma's proof literally repeats considerations in [8], pp. 183–184, that follow formula (2.5) on p. 183. However, x and y must be changed to y_1 and x_1 respectively. Indeed, $D^* \subset \{x_1 > 0; -\infty < y_1 < +\infty\}$ in the present case, whereas D^* used in [8] lies in the upper half-plane, and so $\sin\alpha\theta$ must be changed to $\cos\frac{\beta\theta}{2}$. □

3 Proof of Theorem 2

The fundamental Dirichlet eigenvalue is characterized by the variational principle based on the Rayleigh quotient

$$\lambda_1(D) = \inf \frac{\int_D (w_x^2 + w_y^2)\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y}{\int_D w^2\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y}\,. \tag{6}$$

It is sufficient to take this infimum over all $C^2(D)$ functions which are non-negative and vanish in a neighbourhood of ∂D. Since $D \subset R_\beta$, any such trial function can be taken in the form

$$w = v\, r^{\beta/2} \cos\frac{\beta\theta}{2},$$

where v belongs to the same class as w itself.

Let us consider the identity

$$\int_D \left[(\phi\psi)_x + (\phi\psi)_y\right]^2 \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y = \int_D \phi^2(\psi_x^2 + \psi_y^2)\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y + \int_D \left[\phi_x(\phi\psi^2)_x + \phi_y(\phi\psi^2)_y\right] \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y,$$

which holds for arbitrary ϕ and ψ. Putting $\phi = r^{\beta/2}\cos\frac{\beta\theta}{2}$, $\psi = v$, and applying the divergence theorem to the last integral, one obtains that this integral vanishes because $r^{\beta/2}\cos\frac{\beta\theta}{2}$ is harmonic and v is equal to zero on ∂D. Thus, the equality

$$\int_D (w_x^2 + w_y^2)\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y = \int_D (v_x^2 + v_y^2)\, r^{\beta+1}\cos^2\frac{\beta\theta}{2}\,\mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}\theta$$

is valid. Manipulating with the right-hand side integral in the same way as Payne and Weinberger do with the right-hand side integral of their formula (3.4) (of course, α must be changed to $\beta/2$ and sin to cos), and using inequality (4) instead of that proved in [8] (see Lemma on p. 183), one arrives at the required inequality (3).

4 Examples

In this section, we use subscripts to distinguish different domains.

Disc cut along a radius. Let D_{cd} be the disc of radius ρ centred at the origin and cut along the negative x-axis; that is,

$$D_{cd} = \{(r,\theta) : r < \rho;\ \theta \in (-\pi, \pi)\}.$$

In this case $\beta = 1$ and $J_{\beta/2}(t) = J_{1/2}(t) = \sqrt{2/(\pi t)}\sin t$. Furthermore, equality is attained in formula (3), according to which, $\lambda_1(D_{cd}) = (\pi/\rho)^2$ because $j_{1/2,1} = \pi$. The corresponding eigenfunction is

$$u_1(D_{cd}) = J_{1/2}\left(\frac{\pi r}{\rho}\right)\cos\frac{\theta}{2} = \sqrt{\frac{2\rho}{\pi^2 r}}\sin\frac{\pi r}{\rho}\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\,.$$

Thus, the first eigenvalue of a half-cut disc is $(\pi/j_{0,1})^2 = 1.7066...$ times larger than the first eigenvalue of the whole disc of the same radius.

Sector of an Annulus. Let $D_{as} = \{(r,\theta) : r \in (\rho_1, \rho_2);\ \theta \in (-\pi/\beta, \pi/\beta)\}$ be the annular sector centred at the origin. Then $\lambda_1(D_{as}) = k^2$, where k is the smallest positive root of the equation

$$J_{\beta/2}(k\rho_1)Y_{\beta/2}(k\rho_1) = J_{\beta/2}(k\rho_2)Y_{\beta/2}(k\rho_2).$$

A consequence of (3) is the lower bound $k \geq (\rho_2^{\beta+2} - \rho_1^{\beta+2})^{-2/(\beta+2)} j_{\beta/2,1}$ for this root. This bound is similar to formula (3.27) in [8].

Square cut along a half-midline. Let us consider the domain

$$D_1 = \{(x,y) : |x| < 1;\ |y| < 1;\ \theta \neq \pm\pi)\}.$$

The exterior sides of this square are pairwise symmetric about the x and y axes, its area is equal to 4 and it is cut along the negative x-axis. From (1), it follows that

$$\lambda_1(D_1) \geq \pi j_{0,1}^2/4 = 4.5420\ldots. \tag{7}$$

If the square has the same exterior sides as D_1 but no cut, then (1) yields the same lower bound; that is,

$$\lambda_1(D_0) \geq \pi j_{0,1}^2/4 = 4.5420... \text{ for } D_0 = \{(x,y) : |x| < 1;\ |y| < 1\}.$$

Moreover, the last lower bound is less than 10% smaller than the exact value

$$\lambda_1(D_0) = \pi^2/2 = 4.9348\ldots.$$

As in the case of discs with and without a cut, it is reasonable to expect that $\lambda_1(D_1) > \lambda_1(D_0)$. Indeed, formula (3) with $\beta = 1$ and $j_{1/2,1} = \pi$ gives the following lower bound:

$$\lambda_1(D_1) \geq \frac{\pi^{8/3}}{[(\pi+1)\sqrt{2} + \log(1+\sqrt{2})]^{2/3}} = 5.9341\ldots, \tag{8}$$

which is about 20% larger than the exact value for the uncut square D_0 and substantially better than the Faber–Krahn bound (7).

Square cut along a half-diagonal. Let D_2 be as follows:

$$\{(x,y) : -\sqrt{2}/2 < y - x < \sqrt{2}/2;\ -\sqrt{2}/2 < y + x < \sqrt{2}/2;\ \theta \neq \pm\pi)\}.$$

This square is also cut along the negative x-axis, but its vertices are located on the x and y axes so that its area is equal to 4 like that of D_0 and D_1. Therefore, the Faber–Krahn inequality (1) gives for $\lambda_1(D_2)$ the same lower bound as for $\lambda_1(D_1)$ and

$\lambda_1(D_0)$; see (7). It occurs that formula (3) with $\beta = 1$ and $j_{1/2,1} = \pi$ gives the following lower bound:

$$\lambda_1(D_2) \geq \pi^2/2 = 4.9348... = \lambda_1(D_0). \tag{9}$$

Comparing this lower bound with that following from the Faber–Krahn inequality, we see that (9) is better. However, unlike the case of square cut along a half-midline, (9) does not improve the bound natural form a physical point of view.

References

[1] M. Abramowitz, I. A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions.* U.S. National Bureau of Standards, 1964.

[2] M. S. Ashbaugh, R. D. Benguria, *Isoperimetric Inequalities for Eigenvalues of the Laplacian.* Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **76**, Part 1 (2007), 105–139. Amer. Math. Soc.

[3] C. Bandle, *Isoperimetric Inequalities and Applications.* Pitman, 1980.

[4] G. Faber, *Beweis, dass unter allen homogenen Membranen von gleicher Flache und gleicher Spannung die kreisformige den tiefsten Grundton gibt.* Sitzungsber. math.-phys. Klasse Bayer. Akad. Wiss. (1923), 169–172.

[5] E. Krahn, *Über eine von Rayleigh formulierte Minimaleigenschaft des Kreises.* Math. Ann. **94** (1925), 97–100.

[6] E. Krahn, *Über Minimaleigenschaften der Kugel in drei und mehr Dimensionen.* Acta Comm. Univ. Tartu (Dorpat) **A9** (1926), 1–44.

[7] J. R. Kuttler, V. G. Sigillito, *Eigenvalues of the Laplacian in Two Dimensions.* SIAM Rev. **26** (1984), 163–193.

[8] L. E. Payne, H. F. Weinberger, *A Faber–Krahn inequality for wedge-like membranes.* J. Math. and Phys. (ZAMP) **39** (1960), 182–188.

[9] J. W. S. Rayleigh, *The Theory of Sound.* 2nd Edition in two vols., Dover Publications, 1945.